

# Related rights: The Autorité accepts Google's commitments

Published on June 21, 2022

The *Autorité* accepts Google's commitments to create a framework for negotiating and sharing the information necessary for a transparent assessment of the remuneration of related rights and makes these commitments binding

RELATED RIGHTS / GOOGLE

## Understanding related rights

Autorité  
de la concurrence

### Legal framework



The **law of 24 July 2019** transposes into French law the **directive on copyright and related rights of 17 April 2019**.

It gives publishers and news agencies the right to allow or forbid the re-use of their content by digital platforms.

### Concerned content



This includes:

- > **article extracts**
- > **photographs**
- > **infographics**
- > **videos**
- > **etc.**

displayed by digital platforms within their various services (i.e. Google Search, Google News and Discover).

### Objectives



Setting the conditions for a **balanced negotiation** between publishers, news agencies and digital platforms, in order to **redefine the sharing of the value** and protect investments made.

## Background

Today, the *Autorité de la concurrence* issues a decision in which it accepts the commitments of Alphabet Inc, Google LLC, Google Ireland Ltd and Google France (hereinafter "Google") and closes the proceedings on the merits initiated in November 2019 by SEPM, APIG and AFP, which denounced practices implemented by Google following the adoption of Law No. 2019-775 of 24 July 2019 aimed at creating a related right for the benefit of press agencies and publishers (hereinafter, the "Law on Related Rights").

As a reminder, in April 2020, the *Autorité* issued injunctions in the context of urgent interim measures against Google ([Decision 20-MC 01 of 9 April 2020/see press release](#)), pending the decision on the merits. It then enjoined the company to negotiate in good faith with press agencies and publishers for the remuneration due for the use of their protected content (inclusion of press photos and articles on the Google Search engine and its Google News and Discover services). In July 2021, it was then led to fine Google 500 million euros for non-compliance with these injunctions ([Decision 21-D-17 of 12 July 2021/see press release](#)), enjoining Google to comply with its interim measures decision, subject to a periodic penalty payment.

In the course of the investigation into the merits of this case, competition concerns were identified and formalised in a preliminary assessment. Following the communication of this assessment, Google proposed a first set of commitments in December 2021, which were subjected to a market test ([see press release of 15 December 2021](#)). Following a hearing in April 2022 before the Board, Google successively sent four new versions of these commitments as well as a final proposal on 9 May 2022, in order to address both the concerns expressed in the market test and those of the Board.

The *Autorité* considers that the commitments proposed by Google are likely to put an end to the competition concerns expressed and are substantial, credible and verifiable. It has therefore decided to accept them and make them binding. They will apply for a period of five years and be renewable once for a period of five years on a reasoned decision of the *Autorité*.

Benoît Cœuré, President of the Autorité de la concurrence stated about today's decision: "After issuing interim measures in April 2020 and then fining Google 500 million euros in July 2021 for non-compliance with these injunctions, the Autorité today welcomes the commitments taken by Google in the context of the procedure on the merits. The combination of these different means of action now makes it possible to create an environment offering more stability and guarantees of fairness for publishers and press agencies. For the first time in Europe, the commitments taken by Google provide a dynamic framework for negotiation and sharing of the information necessary for a transparent assessment of the remuneration of direct and indirect related rights. This framework will improve assessment methods and facilitate the transmission by Google of the information necessary for them. The commitments contain a complete system from the start of the negotiations to their conclusions, all under the supervision of a monitoring trustee, whose opinions will be binding on Google, and who may be assisted by experts in intellectual property, finance or press or publicity.

They also include measures that will make it possible to find a solution in the event of a deadlock by the intervention of an arbitration court, the costs of which will be borne by Google."



## Reminder of the reported practices and the previous procedural steps

Considering serious and immediate damage to the press sector, in April 2020 the *Autorité* issued interim measures aimed at compelling Google to implement a good faith negotiation, in order to formulate a financial proposal for the use of the protected contents of press agencies and publishers (20-MC-01).

Despite these injunctions, in a decision of non-compliance with the injunctions (21-D-17 of 12 July 2021), the *Autorité* found in July 2021 that Google had been able to frustrate negotiations with press agencies and publishers on the current use of protected press content on its services. In particular, it found

- that during almost the entire three-month negotiation period provided for in the interim measures decision, Google had systematically directed the negotiations towards the conclusion of a global licence agreement, whose subject matter was mainly a new service, called Showcase, based on the inclusion of full-text press articles that were previously not accessible on Google's portals. As a result of this behaviour, press agencies and publishers were deprived of their ability to negotiate specific remuneration for the current uses of their protected content during almost the entire negotiation period, even though they had clearly expressed this wish to Google on multiple occasions.
- that Google had significantly reduced the scope of application of the Law on related rights by excluding the principle of remuneration for press content from titles that do not have "General and Political Information" (or IPG) certification, and by refusing to allow news agencies to earn remuneration for their content reused by press publishers.

- and, lastly, that Google had adopted an excessively restrictive conception of the notion of revenues derived from the display of press content under Article L. 218-4 of the CPI, by only considering, as part of this basis, the advertising revenues of the Google Search pages on which protected content is displayed. In fact, Google excluded the indirect revenue that derives from the attractiveness provided to Google services through the display of protected content, both increases the amount of data it collects and improves its ability to deliver targeted advertising, and increases the likelihood that the user will access paid sponsored links on its online search site.

## **Competition concerns**

In the course of the investigation into the merits of the case, the Investigation Services formalised several competition concerns relating to Google's behaviour in a "preliminary assessment" document. These concerns are based on three issues.

- **Unfair trading conditions**

First, Google may have imposed unfair trading conditions on press agencies and publishers, constituting an abuse of a dominant position within the meaning of European Union competition law (Article 102(a) of the TFEU and Article L. 420-2 of the French Commercial Code (Code de commerce)), by refusing to negotiate and pay for the display of protected press content on Google's existing services under related rights.

- **Discriminatory treatment**

Secondly, by imposing zero remuneration for all press agencies and publishers when the Law on Related Rights entered into force, independently of an examination of their respective situations, Google is likely to have treated identically economic stakeholders placed in different situations without any objective justification, and, therefore, to have implemented a discriminatory practice constituting an abuse of a dominant position within the meaning of Articles L. 420-2 of the French Commercial Code (Code de commerce) and 102 (c) TFEU.

- **Circumvention of the law**

Lastly, Google may have abused its dominant position to circumvent the Law on Related Rights, in particular by using the possibility for news agencies and publishers to grant free licences to systematically impose a principle of non-remuneration for the display of protected content on its services, without any possibility of negotiation, and by refusing to communicate the information necessary for determining the remuneration.

**Extended scope**

Extension to all publishers, IPG certification not required

Extension to press agencies whose content is integrated into publications of third-party publishers

Commitments valid for publishers and agencies that have already entered into or concluded an agreement

**Transmission of the necessary information for a transparent evaluation of remuneration**

- › Systematic communication of a minimum set of data (updated each year)
- › Additional information under the control of an independent monitoring trustee

**Arbitration when in difficulty**

If the negotiation fails, possibility to lodge a complaint before an arbitration court (at Google's expense) if the publishers so request

**Supervision**

- › The monitoring trustee will supervise the negotiations and ensure the implementation of the commitments
- › They may enlist the services of a technical, financial or intellectual property expert
- › They will play an active role in settling any areas of disagreement arising between the parties during their negotiations, by issuing opinions that will be binding on Google

**Good Faith Negotiation**

Discussion based on transparent, objective and non-discriminatory criteria.

Separate negotiations:

- › On the Showcase service or any other new Google service
- › On existing uses of protected content

**Neutrality of the negotiations**

Preservation of conditions

- indexing
- classification
- display during negotiations

No interference with any potential other economic relations.



**Withdraw the appeal against the decision for non-compliance with several injunctions**

## Google's commitments

As a result of the preliminary assessment, Google submitted a set of commitments on 9 December 2021. These commitments were the subject of a market test and were discussed during a hearing before the *Autorité*. Following this hearing, Google released four successive versions of commitments, ultimately resulting in a substantially improved final proposal on 9 May 2022.

### Scope of application

Google has extended the scope of application of its commitments to all publishers covered by Article L. 218-1 of the Intellectual Property Code ("IPC"), whether or not they have IPG certification. The same applies to related rights of press agencies that are integrated into third party publications and which are now expressly covered.

Google also proposes extending the provisions of its commitments to press agencies and publishers that have already entered into negotiations or concluded a contract with Google for related rights, either directly or through a professional association. Press agencies and publishers with existing agreements will be able to amend or terminate those agreements at no cost to them so they can engage in new negotiations with Google, with the understanding that the compensation agreed to under their pre-existing agreements will continue to apply until the date of this amendment or termination.

### **Good faith negotiation**

Google undertakes to "negotiate in good faith" with press publishers and news agencies that so request, the remuneration for any reproduction of protected content on its services in accordance with the modalities laid down in Article L.218-4 of the IPC and according to transparent, objective and non-discriminatory criteria. Google expressly agrees to conduct separate and independent negotiations regarding the Showcase service or any other new Google service, and regarding existing uses of protected content.

### **Transmission of the information necessary for the transparent evaluation of the remuneration**

Google undertakes to communicate the information necessary for a transparent evaluation of the proposed remuneration, as provided for in Article L.218-4 of the IPC.

To this end, Google has planned to transmit, as a first step, a "base" of minimum information to each negotiating party within ten workdays for individual negotiations and 15 workdays for collective negotiations. This base includes the number of printings and click-through rates of protected content on Google Search, Google News and Google Discover, as well as data relating to Google's revenues in France, whether direct, indirect or resulting from its role as an intermediary in online advertising.



As a second step, Google will provide the additional relevant information requested by press agencies and publishers within 15 workdays, under the supervision of an independent trustee. The trustee may issue an opinion, which will be binding on Google, on the technical feasibility or relevance of such requests. It will take measures to preserve the confidentiality of the information, where necessary. The most sensitive data (such as Google's Search ads and Display ads revenues in France) will only be shared with the trustee and its experts. This mechanism will reconcile Google's legitimate request to protect its business secrets with the need for press agencies and publishers to obtain the information necessary to assess Google's direct and indirect revenues from the display of their protected content.

### **Neutrality of negotiations**

Google undertakes to take the necessary steps to ensure that the negotiations do not affect the crawling, ranking or presentation of the protected content and do not affect any other economic relationship that may exist between Google and the news agencies and press publishers;

### **Withdrawal of the appeal against the decision for non-compliance with injunctions**

Google undertakes to withdraw its appeal against the decision on non-compliance with injunctions. The fine of 500 million euros imposed by the *Autorité* on 12 July 2021 is therefore final.

### **Arbitration procedure in the event of difficulties**

Google undertakes to make a proposal for remuneration within three months of the start of negotiations. In the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement at the end of this negotiation period, the negotiating parties will have the option of referring the matter to an arbitration tribunal to determine the amount of remuneration. To take into account the limited financial resources of press agencies and publishers, they may, if they wish, ask Google to pay the arbitrators' fees in full, both for the first proceedings and for any appeal proceedings.

## **Monitoring of commitments by an approved independent trustee**

An independent trustee approved by the *Autorité* will ensure the implementation of the commitments taken and may, if necessary, call on the services of a technical, financial or intellectual property expert.

The trustee will oversee the negotiations between Google and the press agencies and publishers and will also be involved in the annual review and update of the minimum information that Google must provide to press agencies and publishers.

The trustee will, where appropriate, play an active role in resolving any points of disagreement that arise between the parties in the course of their negotiations, by issuing opinions to the *Autorité* or making proposals on any dispute relating to the certification of a press publisher or agency, on whether a press publisher's domain contains protected content, on the technical feasibility or appropriateness of a request for additional information, and on the manner in which responses to requests for additional information should be communicated to press publishers and agencies. While the opinions and proposals are not binding on press agencies and publishers, Google has committed to comply with them. This mechanism provides a quick way to resolve disputes that, while binding on Google, preserve the freedom of press agencies and publishers to pursue their claims through other legal avenues if they see fit.

### **DECISION 22-D-13 OF 21 JUNE 2022**

regarding practices implemented in the press sector

See full text of the decision

Commitments by Google towards  
**Press conference presentation**  
press publishers and agencies

Download - PDF - 2 796.68 ko

## Contact(s)

Virginie Guin  
Directrice de la communication  
01 55 04 02 62  
[Contact us by e-mail](#)

~~Maxime Guipieroy~~  
Chargé de communications Officielles,  
responsable des relations avec les  
médiats  
[Contact us by e-mail](#)  
06 21 91 77 11  
[Contact us by e-mail](#)