

# Alsace wines: The Autorité sanctions three professional organisations for anticompetitive practices

Published on September 17, 2020

---

Following evidence and documents sent by the French Directorate General for Competition Policy, Consumer Affairs and Fraud Control (DGCCRF), the *Autorité* sanctioned two trade associations, the Alsace Winegrowers Association (*l'Association des Viticulteurs d'Alsace*, AVA) and the Producers and Merchants Group for the Alsace Wine-Growing Region (*le Groupement des Producteurs Négociants du Vignoble Alsacien*, GPNVA), as well as an interprofessional organisation, the Interprofessional Council for Alsace Wines (*le Conseil interprofessionnel des Vins d'Alsace*, CIVA), for fixing the price of grapes. CIVA is also sanctioned for having drawn up and disseminated tariff recommendations on bulk wine.

## **The trade associations for winegrowers and merchants, as well as the interprofessional organisation, agreed to increase grape sale prices**

Between 2008 and 2017, AVA, which represents producers, GPNVA, which represents wine merchants and traders, and CIVA, the interprofessional organisation, worked together at the end of each harvest to establish price recommendations for grapes and this, for each Alsatian grape variety (including Riesling and Gewurztraminer). As of 2013, these prices were published in a trade journal.

Through these practices, the organisations involved aimed to raise the price of the raw material, thereby driving up the retail price of Alsace wine.

## **Since 1980, the interprofessional organisation has published price recommendations on bulk wine**

Moreover, the *Autorité* revealed that between 1980 and 2018, CIVA made price recommendations for bulk wine (unbottled wine) and published them, for its members and for each harvest.

It considered that Civa's practice restricted free competition on the market. Indeed, CIVA worked out unique prices, by grape variety, applicable to all Alsatian winegrowers, whatever their individual operating costs.

These prices were published each year in December, at the start of the bulk wine marketing period, and thus were akin to price instructions.

## **The creation and distribution of price lists by a professional organisation is incompatible with free competition**

By creating and distributing price lists, a trade association or interprofessional organisation encourages members to deviate from their commercial strategy and to stop determining prices independently, thereby distorting negotiations between operators.

Moreover, in the case at hand, although the organisations involved did not make an explicit request for individual exemption from the prohibition of cartels, the *Autorité* considered that they could not have benefited from an exemption in any case since the economic growth generated by the practices was not proven.

Insofar as organisations do not have turnover, unlike companies, financial penalties have been determined according to procedures specific to the case, in application of paragraph 7 of the procedural notice on sanctions. The *Autorité* notes in this regard that the organisations involved have their own resources, consisting in particular of contributions collected annually from their members.

Thus, for example, the amount of contributions collected by CIVA amounted, for recent years, to several million euros. In this context, it pronounced the following fines:

Organisation	Fines imposed (€)
AVA	26 000
GPNVA	2 000
CIVA	348 000
Total	376 000

### Côtes du Rhône precedent

In 2018, following a report by the DGCCRF, the *Autorité de la concurrence* imposed a €20,000 penalty on the French Trade Association of Côtes du Rhône Winegrowers (*le Syndicat général des vignerons réunis des Côtes du Rhône*, SGVRRCR) for having created and distributed price recommendations to its members between 2010 and 2017 ([see press release of 23 May 2018](#)).

## Contact(s)

Bertille Gauthier

Communications Officer

+33155040039

Contact us by e-mail